## ECE 543: Statistical Learning Theory

Maxim Raginsky

April 15, 2021

## Homework 4

Assigned April 15; due April 27, 2021

*Note:* natural logarithms are used throughout.

1. Intrinsic limitations of learning. In our analysis of regression with quadratic loss, we have focused on the ERM algorithm and developed high-probability bounds on its excess loss. In this problem, we will see that there are certain intrinsic limitations any learning algorithm will face even in the realizable case when Y = f(X) (with probability one) and the function f is a member of the chosen hypothesis class  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the marginal probability distribution of X, and for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  let  $Y^f = f(X)$ . Let  $\mathbf{P}_f$  denote the joint distribution of  $(X, Y^f)$ . That is, under  $\mathbf{P}^f$  we have

$$\mathbf{P}_f(A \times B) = \int_A \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \mathbf{1}_{\{f(x) \in B\}}$$

for all measurable sets  $A \subset \mathsf{X}$  and all  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Consider a learning algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_n$  that receives a sequence of i.i.d. training samples  $Z_i^f = (X_i, Y_i^f)$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , drawn from  $\mathbf{P}_f$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is unknown. Consider also the following *random* subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\mathcal{V}_n(f) := \left\{ h \in \mathcal{F} : h(X_i) = f(X_i), \, 1 \le i \le n \right\}.$$

This set, called the *version space*, consists of all functions  $h \in \mathcal{F}$  that agree with the unknown target function f on the training data. Let  $D_n(f)$  denote the *diameter* of the version space in  $L^2(\mu)$  norm:

$$D_n(f) := \sup_{h,h' \in \mathcal{V}_n(f)} \|h - h'\|_{L^2(\mu)} \equiv \sup_{h,h' \in \mathcal{V}_n(f)} \left( \int_{\mathsf{X}} |h(x) - h'(x)|^2 \,\mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that  $D_n(f)$  is a random variable, since it depends on the training data. Our goal is to prove that, no matter how sophisticated  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is, it cannot attain better performance than a constant multiple of  $D_n^2(f)$ .

(a) Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is the ERM algorithm: upon receiving the training data  $Z^n = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$  with  $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i), 1 \le i \le n$ , it outputs

$$\widehat{f}_n = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - f(X_i))^2.$$

Prove that if  $Z^n$  are i.i.d. samples from  $\mathbf{P}_{f^*}$  for some  $f^* \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$L(\widehat{f}_n) \equiv \int_{\mathsf{X}} \left( \widehat{f}_n(x) - f^*(x) \right)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le D_n^2(f^*).$$

(b) Now we will prove the following converse result: for an arbitrary learning algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , there exists at least one  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that

$$\mathbf{P}_{f}^{n}\left(L(\tilde{f}_{n}) \geq \frac{D_{n}^{2}(f)}{16}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2},\tag{1}$$

where  $\tilde{f}_n = \mathcal{A}_n\left(Z^{f,n}\right)$  is the output of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  given training data  $Z^n = Z^{f,n}$  drawn i.i.d. from  $\mathbf{P}_f$ . We will prove this in several steps.

i. Given  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , consider the version space  $\mathcal{V}_n(f)$  and let  $h_{0,f}, h_{1,f} \in \mathcal{V}_n(f)$  be such that  $\|h_{0,f} - h_{1,f}\|_{L^2(\mu)} = D_n(f)$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be a Bernoulli(1/2) random variable independent of  $X^n$ , and define the random function

$$h_f := (1 - \varepsilon)h_{0,f} + \varepsilon h_{1,f}$$

That is, if  $\varepsilon = 0$ , then  $h_f = h_{0,f}$ ; if  $\varepsilon = 1$ , then  $h_f = h_{1,f}$ . Prove that, for any realization of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $D_n(f) = D_n(h_f)$ .

ii. Prove that, for any realization of  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{P}_{f}^{n} \left( \left\| \mathcal{A}_{n}(Z^{n,f}) - f \right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)} \geq \frac{D_{n}(f)}{4} \right) \geq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{P}_{f}^{n} \left( \left\| \mathcal{A}_{n}(Z^{n,h_{f}}) - h_{f} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mu)} \geq \frac{D_{n}(f)}{4} \right)$$
(2)

iii. Let  $\Pi_n$  denote the quantity on the right-hand side of (2). Note that  $\Pi_n$  is a random variable that depends on  $\varepsilon$ . Prove that

$$\mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon} \Pi_n \ge \frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left( \mu^n(A_{0,f}) + \mu^n(A_{1,f}) \right), \tag{3}$$

where, for  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have defined the event

$$A_{b,f} := \left\{ \left\| \mathcal{A}_n(Z^{n,h_{b,f}}) - h_{b,f} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \ge \frac{D_n(f)}{4} \right\}.$$

iv. Prove that the union of the events  $A_{0,f}$  and  $A_{1,f}$  occurs with  $\mu$ -probability one, and conclude from this and from (3) that  $\mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon} \prod_{n} \geq 1/2$ .

*Hint:* Use the fact  $||h_{0,f} - h_{1,f}||_{L^2(\mu)} = D_n(f)$ , and that both  $h_{0,f}$  and  $h_{1,f}$  are in the version space  $\mathcal{V}_n$ , and therefore the function output by the learning algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_n$  upon seeing the training data

$$(X_1, h_{0,f}(X_1)), \ldots, (X_n, h_{0,f}(X_n))$$

is the same as the function output by  $\mathcal{A}_n$  upon seeing the training data

$$(X_1, h_{1,f}(X_1)), \ldots, (X_n, h_{1,f}(X_n))$$

with the same i.i.d. input sequence  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mu$ .

v. Finally, use all of the above to prove that there exists at least one  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that (1) holds true.

The moral of the story is: even if there is no noise in the data, the best performance of any learning algorithm is controlled by the richness of the function class  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is very rich, the version space is likely to be large (as measured by the  $L^2(\mu)$  norm) because there will be many functions that can match the target function on a given sample. This limitation is there even if we design our algorithm with full knowledge that the target function f is in our hypothesis class, and even if we know the marginal distribution  $\mu$  of Xahead of time.

2. Amplifying weak learning algorithms. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions from some space Z into [0, 1]. Let a learning algorithm A be given with the following property: for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, for any probability distribution P on Z,

$$\mathbf{E}[L(A(Z^n))] \le \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} L(f) + \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \ge n(\varepsilon)$ . Here,  $A(Z^n)$  is the (random) element of  $\mathcal{F}$  returned by A upon receiving an *n*-tuple  $Z^n = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$  of i.i.d. samples from P, and  $L(f) := \mathbf{E}_P[f(Z)]$ .

(a) Prove that, for any distribution P and any  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{L(A(Z^n)) > \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} L(f) + \varepsilon\right\} \le \delta, \qquad \text{if } n \ge n(\varepsilon\delta).$$

(b) Let  $Z^n(1), \ldots, Z^n(k)$  be a collection of k independent n-tuples  $Z^n(1), \ldots, Z^n(k)$  of i.i.d. draws from P. For each  $j \in [k]$ , let  $\hat{f}_j = A(Z^n(j))$  — that is, we run the algorithm A independently on each of the k training sets. Prove that, if  $n \ge n(\varepsilon \eta)$  for some  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\min_{1\leq j\leq k}L(\widehat{f}_j)>\inf_{f\in\mathcal{F}}L(f)+\varepsilon\right\}\leq \eta^k.$$

(c) Use the result of Part (b) to show that one can use A to design another learning algorithm  $\tilde{A}$  with the following property: for any distribution P on Z,

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{L(\tilde{A}(Z^n)) > \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} L(f) + \varepsilon\right\} \le \delta$$

with

$$n = n(\varepsilon/4) \left\lceil \log_2(2/\delta) \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{8}{\varepsilon^2} \left( \log(4/\delta) + \log \left\lceil \log_2(2/\delta) \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil$$

*Hint:* Split the sample  $Z^n$  into k + 1 disjoint subsamples, where the first k subsamples each have size  $n(\varepsilon/4)$ . Run A independently on each of these first k subsamples to generate  $\hat{f}_1, \ldots, \hat{f}_k \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now use the remaining subsample to select a suitable hypothesis among  $\{\hat{f}_1, \ldots, \hat{f}_k\}$ .

(d) In your own words, explain the conceptual idea behind the result of part (c).