Universal Regulators for Linear Systems y = ay + bu $u(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ $a \in IR, b \neq 0$ (both untrown) Goal: Universal regulation Sall signals bdd for arbitrary initial conditions y(0), any other i.c. for the controller. From Lec. 3: sign (b) known = Universal reg. possible Take 6>0 (620 case is similar) Dynamic controller: k=y2, u=-ky Showed k(t), y(t) bold =) y(t) bold $y \in L_2: \qquad \int_0^\infty y^2(t) dt < \infty \Rightarrow y(t) \to 0$ astor by Barbalat Now, assume sign(b) unknown (but b= 0) Some fundamental limits: z = f(z, y)u = h(z, y)take controllers of the form our controller from before: $\dot{z} = y^2$ $\mu = -zy$ f(z, y) = -zy h(z, y) = -zyConsider a class of controllers u/ continuous rational dynamics: f(2, y) and h12, y) are ratios of polynomials in 2 and y, denominators have no real roots E.g. $f(z, y) = \frac{q(z, y)}{p(z, y)}$ $q_1 p polynomials$ 9(2, y) = 0 for all real zig EIR

Claim no controller of this form can achieve universal regulation! (uhen sign (b) is unknown) [proved by R. Nussbaum in 1983] Context: Morse (in 1983) conjectured that universal regulation is impossible if sign(b) is unknown Proof of the claim O Controller must have nontrivial dynamics (in other words, f cannot be =0) Assume 2 stays constant (f=0). Then the controller is static: u=h(y). Two cases: h (yo) = o for some yo h(y) #0 Vy ER (e.g. h(g) >0) Take a=0, b=1 Then take a=b=1 4070 $y = y + \lambda(y)$ $y(0) = y_0 > 0$ $\dot{y} = h(y)$ y(0)= y0 >0 =) y(t) nill keep increasing y+>0 y₀ is an equilibrium, s₀ y(t) = y₀ >0, v€ y D0 y (t) = y₀ >0, v€ ... the controller needs a state Dynamic controllers: 2: f(2,y) 4, h are cont. rational tens f (2,y) is not identically 0. =) $\exists z_0 \quad s.t. \quad y \neq f(z_0, y) \neq 0$ $f(z_0, y) = \frac{q(z_0, y)}{p(z_0, y)} \quad q(z_0, y)$ $p(z_0, y) = \frac{q(z_0, y)}{p(z_0, y)}$ 9 (20, 4) is a poly iny p(20, 4) is a poly ing to for ally his







